



House Appropriations Chair's Proposed Operating Budget Would Increase Spending by \$8 Billion

Briefly

The House Appropriations Committee Chair has proposed a 2019–21 operating budget that would appropriate \$52.811 billion from funds subject to the outlook (NGFO). This is an increase of \$8.150 billion (18.2 percent) over 2017–19 appropriations. This increase would be the largest in at least 25 years, after a 17.9 percent increase in 2005–07 and a 16.9 percent increase in 2017–19.

Of the increase, \$5.834 billion is a historically large maintenance level (the cost of continuing current services, primarily due to the response to the McCleary decision on school funding, which is fully paid for the first time). Another \$2.316 billion comes from newly proposed policies. (On top of this, \$389.6 million would be appropriated outside of the NGFO for higher education programs. Including these funds, the proposal would appropriate \$53.201 billion.)

Despite \$5.6 billion in new revenue growth since the supplemental budget was adopted last March, the Chair proposes a significant tax package to help fund new policies. Included in the package is a capital gains tax. As proposed, the budget would balance over four years. However, that balance depends on capital gains tax collections. In the event of a legal challenge (which could take years to resolve), it is unlikely the state would collect capital gains revenues in 2019–21. This would put the budget out of balance in 2019–21, even if there is no economic downturn. With many economists indicating that a downturn is somewhere on the horizon (perhaps within the four-year balanced budget timeframe), legislative leaders would be wise to consider the sustainability of such historic spending increases.

Funds Subject to the Outlook (NGFO)

In recent years, legislative fiscal committee staffs have based budget presentations on a synthetic "account" that rolls up the general fund–state (the state's primary budget account) with the education legacy trust account and the opportunity pathways account, because they believe that it better reflects the entire budget situation. Previously called the near general fund–state plus opportunity pathways (NGFS+), it is now more simply called "funds subject to the outlook" or the near general fund–outlook (NGFO). (Under the four-year balanced budget requirement, a positive ending balance is required in both the current and following biennium on an NGFO basis.)

State spending from funds subject to the outlook has increased 44 percent since 2008, largely driven by the McCleary decision on school funding. Revenues have matched this spending growth, thanks to a strong economy and some tax increases.

The state is in full compliance with the McCleary decision as of the second year of 2017–19, so 2019–21 is the first biennium in which McCleary is fully funded for two years. Although the maintenance level of the upcoming 2019–21 biennium is unusually high as a result, the 2018 supplemental budget balanced over four years (including the McCleary increases). The March revenue forecast significantly increased estimated revenues for both

2017–19 and 2019–21, enabling additional increases in spending for 2019–21.

The House Appropriations Chair has proposed a 2019–21 operating budget that would increase appropriations from funds subject to the outlook (NGFO) by \$8.150 billion over enacted 2017–19 appropriations. To help balance the budget, a proposed tax package would increase NGFO revenues by \$979 million in 2019–21 (not including a \$390 million targeted business and occupation tax increase dedicated to higher education that is technically outside of the NGFO).

Revenues and Other Resources

2019–21 revenues are estimated to be

\$50.555 billion, an increase of 9.6 percent over 2017–19 revenues (as estimated in the March revenue forecast). The budget proposal is accompanied by a tax package that would increase revenues by \$979 million in 2019–21 and \$2.173 billion in 2021–23.

HB 2156. The bill would impose a 9.9 percent tax on capital gains over \$100,000 for individuals and \$200,000 for couples filing joint tax returns. The tax would not apply to residential real estate sales, retirement accounts, assets sold due to eminent domain, certain livestock sales, certain agricultural land sales, certain timber sales, and sales of certain personal property used in business.

The bill would also change the state’s real

estate excise tax to make its rate graduated. The current rate is 1.28 percent on sales of real property. Under the bill, the rate would be cut to 0.9 percent for properties with selling prices less than or equal to \$500,000. For properties with selling prices above \$500,000, the rate would be 1.28 percent for the portion of the price less than or equal to \$1.5 million; 2.0 percent for any portion of the price between \$1.5 million and \$7 million; and 3.0 percent for any portion of the price that is greater than \$7 million. Together, these proposals are estimated to increase revenues by \$911.0 million in 2019–21 and \$2.097 billion in 2021–23.

HB 2157. The bill would increase business and occupation (B&O) and sales taxes for bullion and B&O taxes for travel agents, and it would change the non-resident sales and use tax exemption to a refund program. It would also expand the property tax exemption for senior citizens and reauthorize the Legislature’s tax structure work group (which was authorized in the 2017–19 budget and made recommendations to the Legislature in December). Altogether, the bill would increase revenues by \$68.3 million in 2019–21 and \$76.0 million in 2021–23.

HB 2158. This bill would impose a “workforce education investment surcharge” of 20 percent, in addition to business and occupation taxes, on businesses in certain sectors in the service and other activities category (e.g. computer software and engineering). There is no fiscal note for the bill yet, but all the revenues would be deposited in a new “workforce education investment account” that would technically be outside of the NGFO.

Other Resource Changes. The proposal would transfer \$202 million to the NGFO, including \$160 million from the public works assistance account and \$28 million from the disaster response account.

Spending

The proposal would appropriate \$52.811 billion from funds subject to the outlook,

Table: NGFO Balance Sheet (Dollars in Millions)

	2017-19	2019-21
Beginning Balance	1,149	1,690
Revenue		
March 2019 Revenue Forecast	46,106	50,555
House Chair's Proposal		
HB 2156 (Capital Gains Tax and Graduated REET)		911
HB 2157 (Tax Preferences)		68
Budget Driven and Other Revenue	(2)	(198)
Total Revenue	46,104	51,336
Other Resource Changes		
Transfer to Budget Stabilization Account	(441)	(495)
Transfer to BSA (Extraordinary Revenue Growth)	(1,760)	
Transfer from BSA (Extraordinary Revenue Growth)	1,078	
Other Enacted Fund Transfers	162	
Prior Period & CAFR Adjustments	85	41
House Chair's Proposal		
Fund Transfers		202
Total Other Resource Changes	(877)	(252)
Total Resources	46,378	52,774
Spending		
2017-19 Appropriations	44,661	
House Chair's Proposal		
Actual/Assumed Reversions	(195)	(247)
Maintenance Level Changes	87	
2019-21 Maintenance Level		50,496
Policy Changes	135	2,316
Total Spending	44,688	52,564
Unrestricted Ending Fund Balance	1,690	209
Budget Stabilization Account Balance	1,638	1,835
Transfers from GFS and Interest Earnings	2,240	614
Transfer to the GFS	(1,078)	
Transfer to the Pension Stabilization Account	(925)	
Appropriations from the BSA	(41)	
Projected BSA Ending Fund Balance	1,835	2,450
Total Reserves	3,525	2,659

an increase of \$8.150 billion (18.2 percent) over 2017–19 appropriations. Of that increase, \$5.834 billion is maintenance level (the cost of continuing current services) and \$2.316 billion is new policy.

(Another \$389.6 million would be appropriated outside of the NGFO for higher education programs. These programs would typically be funded within the NGFO were it not for the proposed dedicated funding source mentioned above. Including these funds, the budget would appropriate \$53.201 billion.)

Public Schools. NGFO appropriations for K–12 would make up 51.6 percent of the NGFO budget. Policy level changes in the proposal would increase spending on public schools by \$634.7 million. That includes \$453.3 million for school employee health benefits, \$77.1 million for local effort assistance, and \$69.7 million to increase the special education multiplier.

Higher Education. Policy level changes would increase NGFO spending on higher education by \$128.6 million. That includes \$45.1 million in operating support for the institutions, \$25.1 million to main-

tain the state need grant, and \$10.8 million for the Washington State University medical school.

Separately from the proposed budget, and outside of the NGFO, HB 2158 would appropriate \$389.6 million for higher education and career connected learning purposes.

Social Services. Policy changes would increase spending for the Department of Social and Health Services and other human services by \$826.1 million. The proposal would appropriate \$90.1 million for state hospital operations and \$50.5 million to increase managed care rates. It would expand the Early Childhood and Education Assistance Program (ECEAP) (\$23.0 million), increase the ECEAP rate (\$14.9 million), and increase the child care center rate (\$24.6 million).

The budget would fund collective bargaining agreements with family child care providers (\$52.8 million), adult family home caregivers (\$37.6 million), and in-home providers (\$72.4 million), and it would provide parity for agency providers (\$22.8 million).

Other. The proposal would fund the collective bargaining agreements with state employees and extend them to non-represented employees (\$532.4 million including higher education employees). Also, the budget would appropriate \$37.5 million for debt service on new capital projects.

Reserves and Outlook

The proposal would leave an unrestricted NGFO ending balance of \$209 million in 2019–21 (this is a very small ending balance for the first biennium). At the end of 2019–21, the budget stabilization account (BSA, or the rainy day fund) would total \$2.450 billion. The proposal would not make new appropriations from the BSA. Additionally, under the proposal, capital gains tax collections would go to the education legacy trust account, so they would not be subject to constitutionally-required transfers to the BSA.

Chart: Actual and Proposed Spending from Funds Subject to the Outlook (Dollars in Billions)



The budget would balance over four years, leaving an unrestricted ending balance of \$104 million in 2021–23. In that biennium, the BSA balance would be \$3.160 billion.

Comment

The House Appropriation Committee Chair's budget proposal is historically large; the 18.2 percent increase in spending would be the highest increase in at least 25 years. Despite strong revenue growth that fully covers very large maintenance level costs, enormous pressure for spending outside of K–12 education drove budget writers to propose significant tax increases.

Estimated revenues for 2019–21 are \$50.555 billion—just more than the \$50.496 billion maintenance level (and that's not including the \$1.690 billion beginning balance). That high maintenance level is the result of policy choices made by the Legislature last year. The House Appropriation Committee Chair's budget proposal would add \$2.316 billion on top.

To help fund the policy increases, the budget depends on the enactment of a

new capital gains tax; if those revenues could not be collected, the budget would not balance over two years, much less four. Since the tax would certainly be challenged as unconstitutional, it is highly unlikely that revenues could be collected in 2019–21, regardless of its constitutionality.

At some point, we will experience an economic downturn. The Legislature should enact a 2019–21 budget that maintains a sustainable level of spending and doesn't rely on questionable revenue sources.

References

- Washington Research Council (WRC).
2018a. "[Washington Revenue Review: Revenues Are Still Growing Strong, But for How Long?](#)" PB 18–10. Dec. 7.
———. 2018b. "[Washington Spending Review: Spending Up 44 Percent Since Pre-Recession Peak.](#)" PB 18–11. Dec. 12.
———. 2019. "[Washington's Budget Sustainability Requirements Work When Followed.](#)" PB 19–02. Jan. 4.